AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.13 (2), September-December, 2024 https://ajemates.org

APPRAISAL OF THE PROSPECTS OF THE APPLICATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON WORKPLACE PRODUCTIVITY IN NIGERIA

Matthew Izuchukwu Anushiem, PhD
Department of Clinical Legal Education,
Faculty of Law, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka.
Phone/Email: 08032641757, mi.anushiem@unizik.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

The growing integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into global work environments presents both opportunities and challenges for productivity enhancement, particularly in developing economies such as Nigeria. This paper appraises the prospects of AI application in improving workplace productivity across Nigeria's public and private sectors. The purpose is to examine how AI-driven tools such as machine learning, robotics, and intelligent automation can transform workflow efficiency, decision-making, and output quality in the Nigerian context. The paper argues that while AI adoption has the potential to significantly boost productivity by automating repetitive tasks, reducing human error, and facilitating data-driven management, its impact will largely depend on institutional readiness, digital literacy, and infrastructural support. Furthermore, Nigeria's evolving labor market faces the dual challenge of leveraging AI for efficiency while mitigating the risks of job displacement and widening inequality. Drawing from global trends and local realities, the paper highlights key enabling factors including policy innovation, workforce reskilling, investment in digital infrastructure, and ethical governance frameworks. It also underscores the necessity of aligning AI strategies with Nigeria's broader economic diversification and human capital development goals. The central conclusion is that AI represents a transformative frontier for workplace productivity in Nigeria, but realizing its full benefits requires a deliberate, inclusive, and context-sensitive approach. The paper advocates for multi-stakeholder collaboration between government, academia, and industry to develop sustainable AI ecosystems that balance efficiency gains with equitable employment outcomes. By addressing regulatory gaps, promoting AI literacy, and investing in innovation, Nigeria can strategically harness AI technologies to foster competitive, adaptive, and high-performing workplaces capable of driving long-term national productivity growth.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Workplace Productivity, Digital Transformation, Nigeria, Innovation Policy, Labor Market

Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) now comprises a family of computational techniques machine learning, natural language processing, computer vision, and robotic process automation that allow machines to perform tasks that previously required significant human cognitive input. At the micro level, a growing body of peer-reviewed research finds a positive association between firms' use of AI and measures of productivity, innovation and growth. Firm-level studies

using administrative and survey data show that AI-investing firms tend to exhibit higher revenue and employment growth and greater product innovation, and that measured AI use is associated with significantly higher firm productivity after accounting for endogeneity concerns. These findings suggest that AI can operate as a productive technology when embedded in firm processes and complemented by organizational change. (Babina et al., 2024; Czarnitzki, 2023; Gao & Feng, 2023).

However, the productivity gains from AI are not automatic and depend on complementary investments. Classical and recent literature emphasises that technological change raises return to particular skills and that automation historically reshapes task composition rather than uniformly eliminating jobs (Autor, 2015). More recent work on automation and AI shows that exposure to AI tends to be skill-biased: workers with higher levels of education or domain-specific skills are more likely both to use AI tools and to capture productivity gains from them (Gao & Feng, 2023; Stephany, 2024). This complementarity implies that without parallel investments in human capital and organisational redesign, AI adoption risks generating uneven productivity outcomes within and across firms.

At the firm level, evidence indicates that AI adoption can increase revenue per employee, create wage premiums for AI-skilled workers, and accelerate the pace of skill change within occupations. Large cross-industry analyses and global barometers report that sectors and firms that embed AI into core workflows, pair it with workforce upskilling, and sustain data governance often realize the clearest productivity benefits; conversely, many organizations struggle to translate AI pilots into enterprise-level value without complementary changes in processes and skills (PwC 2025)

Nigeria's digital and demographic endowments make it a high-potential environment for AI, but the path to productivity gains will be conditional. Nigeria has a large and youthful population, expanding internet user base (over 100 million internet users reported in 2024), and a growing ecosystem of startups, fintechs, and tech hubs that are experimenting with AI across finance, health, agriculture, and public services. These elements create both a demand base for AI-enabled services and a supply of entrepreneurial experimentation. Still, national diagnostics note critical constraints intermittent power, variability in broadband quality, limited research and development capacity, shortages of advanced AI talent, and nascent data governance frameworks that can slow meaningful, economy-wide productivity improvements if not addressed.

For developing countries, the translation of AI's technical potential into widespread workplace productivity improvements faces distinctive frictions. Comparative research shows that labour-market structures, occupational task compositions, and weaker digital and institutional infrastructures can substantially alter how and where AI produces gains (Carbonero et al., 2023). In middle- and low-income settings the same AI application that increases productivity in an advanced-economy firm may have limited effect where data availability, broadband quality, energy reliability, or access to talent are constrained. Empirical evidence from multi-country studies

therefore cautions against simple extrapolation from high-income outcomes to developing-country contexts (Carbonero et al., 2023; Czarnitzki, 2023).

Research Problem and Rationale for AI Adoption in Nigeria's Workplaces

The contemporary debate about Artificial Intelligence (AI) demonstrates that AI can materially change firm productivity, job content, skill demand and the structure of occupations when it is embedded in operational processes and accompanied by complementary investments in skills and governance (Babina et al., 2024; Gao & Feng, 2023). At the same time, canonical work on technological change highlights that automation rarely eliminates all jobs; rather, it reshapes tasks and raises returns to complementary skills, producing winners and losers depending on institutional and firm-level responses (Autor, 2015). These two insights form the analytic spine of the problem confronting Nigeria: AI can raise workplace productivity, but the magnitude and distribution of gains will be mediated by Nigeria's infrastructural, institutional and human-capital endowments.

Studies show that firms that invest in AI and pair it with process redesign and staff upskilling tend to register higher revenue per employee and faster product innovation (Babina et al., 2024; Gao & Feng, 2023). Translating this evidence to Nigeria suggests a plausible productivity dividend across sectors banking, telecommunications, manufacturing, health and agribusiness if AI implementations move beyond pilots and become integrated into routine workflows. For example, rigorous field work on agricultural smartphone applications in southwestern Nigeria found that exposure and adoption of digital tools increased technical efficiency and total factor productivity for smallholder farmers, indicating that digital-AI hybrids can produce measurable productivity changes when adoption barriers are addressed (Kolapo et al., 2024). Such sectoral illustrations give empirical substance to the argument that AI-driven tools can lift workplace performance in Nigeria when they are relevant to contextual tasks and when users have sufficient exposure and training.

Nevertheless, Anushiem and Anushiem (2023), noted that the research problem is twofold and deeply contextual. First, there is the question of feasibility: do Nigerian firms and public workplaces have the enabling conditions reliable electricity, affordable and resilient connectivity, data availability, and access to technical talent to deploy AI at scale? The peer-reviewed energy and development literature has long documented that inadequate and unreliable electricity constrains economic activity and raises costs for firms in Nigeria, with concrete implications for any technology that depends on continuous power and dependable data centres (Ibitoye, 2007; Anyuabaga et al., 2024). Second, there is the question of inclusiveness: will AI adoption amplify existing inequalities in productivity and wages, or will it be harnessed in ways that broaden opportunities across skill levels and regions? Cross-country and developing-country research warns that without targeted skills investments and institutions, the benefits of AI adoption concentrate among better-resourced firms and urban pockets leaving

informal firms and low-skilled workers behind (Carbonero et al., 2023; Czarnitzki, 2023).

Empirical work on digital technology in Nigeria highlights these constraints in microcosm. Studies of internet use and digital health adoption point to high demand for digital services but also to heterogeneity in access and infrastructure that shapes uptake and impact (Odusanya et al., 2020; Agbeyangi et al., 2025). Research on digital agriculture in Nigeria demonstrates that when users are exposed to and trained on digital tools, productivity gains follow; conversely, lack of exposure and training reduces uptake and potential benefits (Kolapo et al., 2024). These peer-reviewed findings reinforce the point that AI's productive potential depends critically on user-side capacity and distributional reach.

From a policy and organizational perspective, the rationale for promoting AI adoption in Nigeria's workplaces is therefore pragmatic and conditional: AI can improve operational efficiency (automating repetitive administrative tasks), enhance decision-making (through predictive analytics and pattern detection), and raise quality (through improved diagnostics and process controls) but only if adoption is embedded within strategies that address electricity reliability, broadband access, data governance, and workforce skills.

Conceptual Clarifications and Theoretical Perspectives

AI refers not to a single technology but to a suite of computational methods and systems machine learning algorithms, natural language processing, computer vision, and automated decision systems that enable machines to perform tasks involving pattern recognition, prediction, classification, and, in some cases, action in the physical world (Jordan & Mitchell, 2015; LeCun, Bengio, & Hinton, 2015). Workplace productivity is conventionally measured as output per unit of labour or total factor productivity, but in organisational practice it also encompasses process efficiency, quality of outputs, speed of decision-making, and the capacity for innovation; AI can affect these margins by automating routine tasks, augmenting decision processes, and enabling new product and process innovations (Czarnitzki, Fernández, & Rammer, 2023; Gao & Feng, 2023). Thus, analytically, AI's contribution to productivity should be seen as multi-dimensional: it may raise measurable output per worker, shift the composition of tasks toward higher-value activities, and catalyse product and process innovation that changes firm-level trajectories over time (Babina, Fedyk, He, & Hodson, 2024).

Theoretical perspectives show why AI's effects on workplaces are neither uniform nor predetermined. Technological determinism, in its strong form, posits that technological change drives social and economic outcomes with limited mediation by institutions or human agency; by contrast, social-shaping and institutional approaches argue that social, organizational, and regulatory contexts co-construct how technologies are designed, adopted and used (Williams & Edge, 1996). Empirically informed labour-economics work hints both positions: automation and AI substitute for certain tasks but also complement other tasks and skills, meaning that technology changes job composition rather than simply

eliminating work (Autor, 2015). This complementarity logic helps explain why some firms and workers capture productivity gains from AI while others do not: the outcomes hinge on how technology is embedded in work processes, which skills are available, and which institutional supports (training, data governance, and organisational redesign) are present (Babina et al., 2024; Czarnitzki et al., 2023). In addition, innovation-diffusion theory provides a dynamic perspective on adoption: classic diffusion models describe how new technologies spread through populations over time, influenced by innovation characteristics, communication channels, social systems, and time (Bass, 1969). Applied to AI, diffusion theory implies that adoption is path-dependent and influenced by firm heterogeneity, network effects (data and talent flows), and observable payoffs in early adopting firms; as adoption rises, externalities such as improved data ecosystems—can accelerate broader uptake or, alternatively, concentrate advantages among early adopters depending on institutional design (Bass, 1969; Williams & Edge, 1996).

The framework linking AI adoption to productivity growth is both policy-relevant and empirically testable. First, AI investments at the firm level generate direct task-level effects automation of routine activities and augmentation of decision tasks that can increase measured productivity (Czarnitzki et al., 2023; Gao & Feng, 2023). Second, the size and persistence of these effects are conditional on complementary factors: human capital (skills to use and interpret AI), organisational redesign (process and job reconfiguration), infrastructure (reliable electricity and connectivity), and governance (data protection and accountability) (Babina et al., 2024; Autor, 2015). Third, diffusion dynamics imply non-linear firm- and sector-level outcomes: positive externalities (knowledge spillovers, improved data ecosystems) can accelerate adoption and aggregate productivity growth, but network-concentrating dynamics may also lead to divergence if institutional supports for smaller firms and lagging regions are weak (Bass, 1969; Carbonero et al., 2023). Finally, feedback loops matter: productivity gains that raise firm revenues can finance further AI investments and training, reinforcing growth trajectories, while publicly visible successes can shape adoption norms across an economy. This linked framework explains why peer-reviewed firm-level studies observe significant heterogeneity in productivity outcomes from AI as measured effects reflect not only technical capability but also the broader socio-economic and organisational context within which AI is deployed (Babina et al., 2024; Czarnitzki et al., 2023; Gao & Feng, 2023).

Global Perspectives on AI and Workplace Transformation

Trends in AI Adoption in Advanced Economies

The trajectory of artificial intelligence (AI) adoption in advanced economies reveals a complex and uneven landscape. Although AI technologies have become central to industrial transformation and digital competitiveness, their diffusion across sectors and firm sizes is far from uniform. Evidence shows that AI investment and implementation are heavily concentrated in technologically intensive industries such as information technology, finance, manufacturing, and healthcare and among large corporations with robust digital

capacities and data infrastructures (OECD, 2023; Babina et al., 2024). In contrast, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) tend to lag behind, often constrained by limited access to capital, data resources, and skilled personnel capable of managing advanced AI systems (Czarnitzki et al., 2023).

Firm-level studies across North America, Europe, and East Asia consistently demonstrate that companies investing strategically in AI tend to record faster growth rates, higher innovation outputs, and improved operational efficiency compared to their non-adopting counterparts (Babina et al., 2024; Brynjolfsson & McElheran, 2023). However, achieving measurable productivity gains from AI is not automatic. The translation of pilot AI initiatives into enterprise-wide value creation typically requires substantial complementary investments in areas such as data governance, cloud infrastructure, process re-engineering, and continuous workforce training (Goldfarb et al., 2023). This pattern underscores that AI's economic payoff depends less on the technology itself and more on the firm's ability to integrate it into coherent organizational systems.

The experience from earlier technological revolutions, particularly industrial automation and robotics, offers instructive parallels. Graetz and Michaels (2018) found that the introduction of industrial robots significantly boosted productivity in advanced economies, especially within manufacturing sectors. Yet, the benefits were not evenly distributed. Gains tended to accrue to firms and regions with higher technological readiness and to workers with complementary digital or cognitive skills, while others faced displacement or wage stagnation. This distributional asymmetry suggests that, as with robotics, AI adoption can simultaneously enhance aggregate productivity and widen disparities within and across economies (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2021).

Empirical evidence from advanced economies highlights two dominant trends. First, AI integration can substantially elevate productivity and innovation performance when it becomes embedded within core business models and supported by digital infrastructure. Second, the distribution of these benefits is highly uneven, favoring firms, sectors, and regions endowed with complementary assets such as capital resources, digital maturity, and human capital. These observations provide important lessons for emerging economies like Nigeria, where the policy challenge is not merely to adopt AI technologies, but to build the institutional and infrastructural foundations that enable their effective and inclusive utilization.

AI Adoption Patterns in Emerging Economies

The diffusion of artificial intelligence (AI) across emerging economies follows a pattern distinct from that observed in advanced industrialized nations. While the promise of AI to transform production systems and enhance productivity is widely acknowledged, its realization in developing contexts is mediated by structural, institutional, and capacity-related constraints. Nevertheless, growing empirical evidence indicates that firms and sectors capable of building complementary digital, financial, and human capital infrastructures are

beginning to reap measurable benefits from AI adoption.

In many emerging economies, AI adoption is concentrated in technology-oriented sectors, particularly finance, telecommunications, healthcare, and agriculture. In Nigeria, for example, AI applications have gained traction primarily among startups and fintech enterprises, where data-driven innovation and automation are most feasible (Olawumi & Akinwale, 2024). A study of Nigerian startups found that AI-enabled firms achieved higher operational efficiency and customer engagement, alongside roughly 25 percent greater revenue growth compared to non-AI adopters (Olawumi & Akinwale, 2024). Similarly, a firm-level survey of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in Edo State identified AI infrastructure encompassing data quality, computational capacity, and skilled workforce as a statistically significant driver of innovation and decision-making performance, even though overall adoption levels remain low (Eguavoen & Obasuyi, 2023).

The barriers to widespread AI uptake in these economies are multifaceted. Core infrastructural challenges including unreliable electricity supply, limited broadband penetration, and inadequate data-storage capacity directly restrict firms' ability to deploy AI at scale. These constraints are compounded by a scarcity of specialized technical expertise; the lack of trained data scientists, software engineers, and AI ethicists limits both development and implementation capacity (Eguavoen & Obasuyi, 2023; Adeoye & Oke, 2023). Moreover, many SMEs face high costs of acquisition and maintenance of AI tools, alongside restricted access to finance. Regulatory and institutional frameworks also lag behind technological developments, with weak data-protection regimes and the absence of comprehensive national AI strategies creating uncertainty and risk for potential investors (Adeoye & Oke, 2023).

Despite these obstacles, enabling conditions are emerging in specific contexts. Regions and firms that have invested in digital infrastructure and public-private innovation ecosystems show faster AI diffusion. Empirical research from Saudi Arabia and Ghana reveals that AI adoption among SMEs can enhance sustainability, competitiveness, and organizational learning when supported by digital readiness, managerial commitment, and policy incentives (Al-Sharji et al., 2024; Tuffour et al., 2023). Similarly, Nigerian studies emphasize that where governments provide targeted support through training programs, fiscal incentives, and collaborative innovation hubs firms demonstrate greater willingness to adopt and scale AI (Olawumi & Akinwale, 2024).

From these findings, several empirical regularities emerge across emerging economies. First, AI adoption is highly uneven, typically favoring sectors and firms already positioned with superior technological capabilities. Second, complementary investments in data governance, workforce skills, and process redesign are indispensable for translating AI pilot projects into sustained productivity gains. Third, external support in the form of enabling regulation, financial assistance, and public infrastructure is crucial for bridging adoption gaps. Finally, while AI

presents opportunities for technological leapfrogging, it also carries the risk of widening digital and socioeconomic inequalities between regions and firms if inclusivity is not explicitly built into national AI strategies.

For Nigeria, these insights underscore that the challenge extends beyond mere technological uptake. Building a robust digital ecosystem anchored on reliable power supply, broadband connectivity, skills development, and supportive governance remains central to unlocking AI's productivity potential. Furthermore, policies that enhance SME access to digital tools, promote ethical AI practices, and integrate human-centered training will be pivotal to ensuring that AI adoption contributes to inclusive and sustainable workplace productivity growth.

Cross-National Insights on Policy and Governance for AI

Cross-national comparisons point to several governance lessons that are relevant for countries at all income levels. First, the presence of coherent ethical frameworks, data-protection regimes, and institutional capacity to audit and govern AI attenuates risks (bias, privacy violations, opaque decision-making) and can augment public trust, which in turn supports wider adoption. Work on AI ethics and operationalization such as the AI4People ethical framework and subsequent operational studies underscores the importance of translating high-level principles into practice through institutional arrangements, standards, and accountability mechanisms. Second, countries that pair AI strategy with investments in reskilling and upskilling programs are better placed to capture broad-based productivity gains; empirical analyses show that complementary human-capital policies matter as much as the technology itself for determining labor-market outcomes. Third, diffusion dynamics and market structure matter: where data and compute become concentrated within a few firms or regions, policy interventions (competition policy, open data initiatives, public investment in shared digital infrastructure) can be necessary to prevent excessive concentration of gains and to broaden access. These insights drawn from peer-reviewed theory and evidence suggest that national AI strategies should combine regulatory safeguards, active labour-market and education policies, and measures to democratize access to data and compute resources so that productivity gains are resilient and inclusive rather than narrowly captured.

The global evidence portrays AI as a potent but conditional driver of workplace transformation. Advanced economies offer demonstrable examples of productivity gains where firms and institutions provide enabling complements; developing economies show promising local successes but also clear bounds imposed by infrastructure, skills and governance. For policymakers and organizational leaders, the cross-national lesson is therefore pragmatic: to harness AI for productivity, interventions must be systemic simultaneously addressing technology, people, and institutions while tailoring sequencing and design to country-specific constraints and opportunities.

The Nigerian Context: Opportunities and Challenges

The application of artificial intelligence (AI) in Nigeria represents both a significant opportunity for economic transformation and a

complex policy challenge in achieving sustainable workplace productivity. In recent years, the Nigerian economy has witnessed a gradual yet visible embrace of digital technologies across various sectors, including banking, manufacturing, healthcare, agriculture, and education. This trend reflects the global diffusion of AI tools and their potential to improve efficiency, decision-making, and service delivery. According to Adeoye and Oke (2023), Nigerian firms are beginning to integrate AI-driven systems such as machine learning algorithms, chatbots, and automated analytics in core operations, particularly in financial services and telecommunications, where digital infrastructure is more developed. This early adoption underscores the capacity of AI to enhance productivity, reduce transaction costs, and enable data-driven business models in the country's emerging knowledge economy.

The opportunities presented by AI adoption in Nigeria are particularly pronounced in sectors where digital transformation can bridge longstanding productivity gaps. For instance, in manufacturing, AI can streamline production processes, optimize supply chains, and reduce inefficiencies arising from manual operations. Similarly, in agriculture, precision farming technologies supported by AI can help smallholder farmers make informed decisions about planting cycles, pest management, and resource allocation, thereby boosting output and reducing waste. In the public sector, the deployment of AI-based tools in administrative functions and public service delivery has the potential to improve transparency and accountability. As observed by Olawumi and Akinwale (2024), the Nigerian labor market could benefit significantly from the diffusion of AI-enabled platforms that enhance workflow automation, data management, and human resource efficiency, leading to improved workplace productivity across both private and public organizations. However, these opportunities are counterbalanced by a number of structural and institutional challenges that limit the full realization of AI's productivity potential in Nigeria. Chief among these is the country's inadequate digital infrastructure, including inconsistent broadband access, unreliable electricity supply, and limited access to high-performance computing resources. Eguavoen and Obasuyi (2023) note that many small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which constitute the backbone of Nigeria's economy, struggle to implement AI technologies due to the prohibitive costs of acquisition, lack of local technical expertise, and insufficient access to data infrastructure. Moreover, the uneven distribution of technological resources across regions exacerbates digital inequality, with urban centers such as Lagos, Abuja, and Port Harcourt leading in AI adoption, while rural areas remain largely excluded from digital innovation ecosystems.

The human capital dimension poses another critical challenge to AI-driven productivity growth in Nigeria. Despite the country's youthful population, there remains a significant gap in digital literacy and advanced technical skills necessary for developing, managing, and deploying AI solutions. Research by Tuffour, Boateng, and Owusu (2023) emphasizes that the success of AI adoption in developing contexts depends not only on technological readiness but also on human adaptability and institutional support. Nigeria's education system, though evolving, still lags in aligning

curricula with the demands of the digital economy. Without deliberate policy measures to integrate AI education, coding, data science, and robotics into technical and vocational programs, the nation risks deepening skill mismatches that could limit the productivity benefits of automation.

Regulatory and ethical concerns also complicate the AI landscape in Nigeria. The absence of a comprehensive national AI strategy or data governance framework creates uncertainty for firms seeking to invest in AI-driven innovation. Issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, intellectual property rights, and cybersecurity remain insufficiently addressed in the current policy environment. According to Al-Sharji, Al-Shehhi, and Ahmad (2024), countries that have developed clear AI governance mechanisms tend to attract greater investment and foster public trust in AI technologies. For Nigeria, the lack of coherent regulation not only discourages innovation but also heightens the risk of misuse and ethical violations in the workplace, particularly regarding employee monitoring and data handling.

Despite these challenges, the long-term prospects of AI adoption for workplace productivity in Nigeria remain promising, provided that key structural reforms and policy interventions are undertaken. The Nigerian government's efforts, such as the National Digital Economy Policy and Strategy (2020–2030) and the creation of the National Centre for Artificial Intelligence and Robotics (NCAIR), signal an increasing commitment to digital transformation. These initiatives aim to promote research, capacity building, and the ethical use of emerging technologies. If effectively implemented, they can provide a supportive environment for AI integration in businesses, enhance digital inclusion, and drive productivity growth across diverse sectors.

The Nigerian context reveals a dual reality: AI adoption presents transformative opportunities to enhance workplace productivity, competitiveness, and innovation, yet persistent infrastructural deficits, skill shortages, and governance gaps pose significant barriers. The realization of AI's full potential in Nigeria will depend on how effectively policymakers, industry leaders, and educational institutions collaborate to build an enabling ecosystem that supports technological diffusion, human capital development, and ethical governance. Ultimately, AI can serve as a catalyst for Nigeria's transition into a more productive, inclusive, and innovation-driven economy but only if its implementation is strategically aligned with national development priorities and institutional capabilities.

Ethical, Legal, and Socioeconomic Considerations

Ethical Concerns

AI systems can introduce or amplify ethical risks in the workplace, especially when human oversight, fairness, transparency, and accountability are weak or absent. One major concern is algorithmic bias when AI tools make decisions (e.g., hiring, performance appraisal, credit scoring) based on historical data that embed social or demographic biases, thus unfairly disadvantaging certain groups. In Nigeria, for example, AI in healthcare or administrative decisions could replicate inequalities if data used are skewed or if the

models do not account for local variation. According to the study on Artificial Intelligence and Healthcare Delivery in Nigeria, issues like bias, lack of informed consent, and data privacy violations are already emerging in how AI is used in medical diagnostics and patient-care processes (Ehirim, 2025)

Another ethical issue is privacy and data security. AI systems generally require large volumes of personal or sensitive data to function. The use, storage, and sharing of such data raise questions about consent, data ownership, and the risk of misuses. For instance, under the Nigerian Data Protection Act (2023), there are explicit restrictions on automated processing of personal data, especially where such processing could affect individual rights unless the data subject gives consent, or other legal conditions are met. The Act attempts to regulate AI-adjacent data use, but gaps remain, particularly around monitoring and enforcement (Oyinlade 2025)

Transparency, explainability, and accountability are also ethical imperatives. Workers subjected to AI-enabled management systems often lack clarity about how decisions are made who is responsible for errors, what recourse is available, and how to challenge unfair outcomes. Without mechanisms to inspect or audit AI decisions, abuses may go unchecked. There is the issue of integrity both organizational and individual. Studies in education, for example, show that teachers' perceptions of the ethics of AI influence their willingness to integrate smart technologies in teaching and their sense of trust in those technologies. When AI tools are used in evaluation or assessment without clear ethical guidelines, burnout, demoralization, or distrust can follow (Apie, 2025)

Legal and Regulatory Issues

Nigeria has begun to establish legal frameworks relevant to AI, but these are still nascent and partial. The Data Protection Act (2023) is the most prominent recent legislation with implications for AI. Among its provisions are restrictions on automatic decision-making, requirements for consent, and safeguards for personal data. However, the Act does not resolve all the legal uncertainties for example, in cases of intellectual property rights of AI-generated content, liability in cases of harm from AI decisions, or regulation of AI systems themselves.

Within corporate governance, there is a lack of comprehensive regulation specifically tailored to AI adoption in decision-making. The paper "Appraisal of the Legal and Ethical Implications of AI Adoption in Corporate Decision-Making in Nigeria" argues that Nigerian corporations currently operate under a regulatory vacuum in which many AI uses are unregulated, and that ethical frameworks are weak or underdeveloped. Without formal oversight or legal mandates, many firms may resort to employing AI tools whose accountability mechanisms, transparency, or fairness are not properly assured (Aduma & Ikpeze 2024)

In the health sector, the legal and ethical rights of patients (e.g., informed consent, right to safety, privacy) are formally recognized, but AI use introduces novel legal challenges. For example, when AI tools are used in diagnostics

or treatment recommendations, the question arises: who is legally liable if an AI system errs? The physician, the software provider, or the institution? Existing medico-legal liability frameworks are often not adapted to clearly cover such cases. The study Artificial Intelligence and Healthcare Delivery in Nigeria highlights cases where AI raises risk in medical negligence and erodes traditional notions of accountability (Ehirim, 2025)

There are also legal challenges in regulation of data use, especially automated data processing. The Data Protection Act includes provisions that restrict exclusive use of automated processes for personal data without consent, or unless fulfilling legal obligations. However, enforcement and the capacity of regulatory bodies remain issues, as do ambiguities around cross-border data flows and use of AI models trained on data from outside Nigeria.

Socioeconomic Impacts

AI has the potential to reshape Nigeria's labor markets, income distribution, and social inequalities. On the positive side, AI adoption can lead to gains in productivity, cost savings, and better quality of goods and services, which may contribute to higher wages, improved working conditions, and new job creation in AI-adjacent fields (data science, AI tool maintenance, etc.). Particularly in sectors such as agriculture (extension services), education, financial services, and health, where AI-enabled tools can augment human work and improve output, the socioeconomic benefits could be considerable. The study on perceptions among agricultural extension agents in Delta State found that many agents perceive that AI could deliver economic advantages. However, they also perceive potential negatives like job redundancies or displacement, especially for tasks that are repetitive. (Ekperi, Owigho, Akeni & Ovwigho 2025). Conversely, there are risks that AI could deepen existing inequalities. Regions with better infrastructure, firms with more investment capacity, and workers with more education are likely to benefit first, leaving others behind. In rural areas or less developed states, lack of internet, power, or technical training may mean AI remains inaccessible. Socioeconomic divides may widen if AI-driven productivity gains accrue mostly to urban, well-resourced sectors.

Additionally, there is potential for job displacement, especially for low-skilled or routine jobs. Automation may replace certain kinds of tasks, though often with the caveat that new roles may emerge. Without deliberate policies on retraining, social protection, and workforce transition, many workers may be left vulnerable.

Another socioeconomic consideration is the affordability of AI systems and their maintenance. For SMEs especially, acquiring, customizing, and running AI tools is expensive. Costs include not only licensing, hardware, data, and connectivity, but also hiring or training personnel. These high upfront and ongoing costs may limit uptake, and skew adoption toward better-financed firms or those able to secure external investment or grants. Socioeconomic inequality is also reflected in access to education and skill development. If educational systems (formal and informal) do not adapt quickly to provide widespread access to AI-relevant skills (data literacy, programming, understanding ethical and legal

frameworks), then human capital deficits will persist, undermining the potential for broad-based productivity gains.

Data Privacy, Algorithmic Bias, and Ethical AI Use

Data privacy in Nigeria occupies a central place in debates about workplace AI deployment because the effectiveness and legitimacy of AI systems depend on lawful, secure, and trustworthy handling of the personal and operational data that feed them. Employers and firms that adopt AI tools for recruitment, performance monitoring, customer analytics, or operations routinely process large volumes of personal data, and when such processing occurs in an environment of weak governance, opaque consent practices, or inadequate technical safeguards, both employee trust and model integrity suffer; empirical work on algorithmic fairness in African settings has emphasized that poor data governance not only risks rights violations but also degrades model performance by producing incomplete, biased, or low-quality training data (Pasipamire, 2024).

Algorithmic bias is a linked and equally consequential problem because many workplace AI systems rely on historical administrative, transactional, or sensor data that reflect past inequalities and institutional blind spots. When models trained on such data are used for high-stakes personnel decisions screening CVs, ranking applicants, predicting employee churn, or allocating assignments they can systematically disadvantage particular demographic groups or geographic populations if fairness is not designed into development and deployment pipelines. Peer-reviewed reviews of bias-mitigation methods in hiring and selection make clear that a combination of representative data collection, fairness-aware model training, and routine bias audits is required to detect and correct disparate impacts; furthermore, the literature emphasizes that technical fixes alone are insufficient without organizational change and participatory governance that includes affected workers in model evaluation (Albaroudi et al., 2024).

Ethical AI use in Nigeria therefore must be understood as a socio-technical endeavor that requires legal safeguards, technical measures, and institutional processes working in concert. Recent peer-reviewed studies of AI ethics oversight in Nigerian healthcare and other sectors highlight the practical dilemmas that arise when explainability, accountability, and redress mechanisms are absent: health workers and patients confronted with algorithmic diagnoses may have no clear path to contest or understand decisions, and organisations using opaque systems can expose themselves to negligence or reputational risk if harms occur (Olawade et al., 2025). These studies show that embedding human oversight, documented decision-paths, algorithmic impact assessments, and accessible complaint channels into procurement and operational rules is critical to aligning productivity objectives with ethical obligations.

From a policy perspective, the literature recommends a layered approach to privacy and ethics that combines statutory protections, regulatory guidance, and industry practice. Statutory frameworks should require data-protection impact assessments for AI systems that process sensitive personal data, mandate minimum standards for explainability in high-

risk workplace uses, and define clear lines of liability between software vendors, data controllers, and employers; at the same time, regulators and professional bodies should promulgate sectoral guidance (for finance, health, HR, etc.) and incentivize independent algorithmic audits and certification schemes. African-context analyses warn that without such measures, differential access to compliant tools will widen inequality as better-resourced firms procure audited systems while smaller firms rely on opaque off-the-shelf models that encode biases and privacy risks (Pasipamire, 2024; Albaroudi et al., 2024).

At the firm level, responsible AI deployment for productivity gains requires explicit governance: companies must implement privacy-by-design and fairness-by-design practices, secure data minimization and retention policies, internal ethics review or algorithmic impact boards, and worker-facing transparency measures that explain how AI is used in day-to-day decisions. Peer-reviewed evidence from Nigeria and similar contexts demonstrates that investments in these governance mechanisms reduce resistance to AI adoption among employees, lower legal risk, and improve model usefulness because higher-quality, ethically collected data yield more reliable and generalizable outputs (Olawade et al., 2025; Albaroudi et al., 2024).

Employment Displacement and Labor Market Adjustment

Artificial intelligence will transform the Nigerian labour market through a combination of task automation, augmentation, and the creation of new kinds of work, and the net effect on employment will depend principally on the pace of technological diffusion, the skill composition of the workforce, and public and private responses to labour market adjustment. Bibliometric and empirical reviews of technological unemployment identify a persistent pattern: automation tends first to displace routine, codifiable tasks while creating demand for non-routine cognitive, interpersonal, and technical roles; in developing economies the potential for displacement is amplified where a large share of employment is in routine or low-skill occupations and where social safety nets, lifelong learning systems, and re-skilling pathways are weak (Subaveerapandiyan & Shimray, 2024).

In the Nigerian context, a substantial portion of formal and informal work involves repetitive, process-driven activities clerical processing, basic customer service, parts of retail, and some manufacturing tasks that are technically susceptible to automation via AI systems such as robotic process automation, natural language chatbots, and computer vision for quality control. Peer-reviewed projections and regional studies therefore indicate non-trivial exposure of workers to displacement risk if adoption accelerates without concurrent investment in human capital and institutional buffers, and empirical work on developing economies confirms that displacement risks are concentrated among workers with lower digital literacy and limited access to upskilling opportunities (Nigar, 2025).

Nevertheless, the literature also emphasizes the distinction between job loss and task reconfiguration: AI often changes the composition of tasks within jobs rather than

eliminating entire occupations, so that many workers will experience a shift toward collaborative human-machine routines requiring different mixes of cognitive and socio-emotional skills. Peer-reviewed contributions argue that when firms pair AI deployment with deliberate redesign of workflows and targeted retraining, productivity gains can coincide with higher-value roles for workers rather than pure headcount reductions; these findings suggest that policy choices such as subsidized reskilling, apprenticeships with AI vendors, and incentives for firms to retain and upskill staff are decisive determinants of whether displacement leads to long-term unemployment or to reallocation into emerging occupations (Subaveerapandiyan & Shimray, 2024; Nigar, 2025).

The informal sector and gig economy create particular challenges for adjustment because many workers lack formal contracts, access to employer-sponsored retraining, or social insurance. Peer-reviewed analyses caution that automation that substitutes for informal service tasks can produce acute short-term hardship unless transition supports are extended beyond the formal wage sector; consequently, Nigeria's policy response should include portable training vouchers, public-private apprenticeship schemes, and targeted support for reskilling in regions where informal-sector work is dominant. Comparative literature in developing economies shows that such targeted, well-designed programs substantially increase the probability of displaced workers finding new, sustainable employment in higher-productivity activities.

Macro-level labour market effects depend on aggregate demand dynamics and the ability of the economy to create new kinds of AI-complementary employment. Peer-reviewed work stresses that economies that successfully combine education reform, active labour market policies, and incentives for sectors with high-value job creation will see net employment gains over time as productivity rises; however, absent coordinated policy, productivity gains risk concentrating in capital and skill-intensive firms, producing inequality and underemployment for large segments of the workforce (Subaveerapandiyan & Shimray, 2024).

Strategic Pathways for Harnessing AI for Workplace Productivity

1 **Building Human Capacity and Digital Skills**

Nigeria's ability to leverage AI for productivity gains depends fundamentally on both the presence and quality of human capital. Existing studies show that higher education institutions in Nigeria are moderately integrating AI in their curricula but face limitations in faculty competency, infrastructure, and opportunities for applied research (Imizuokena & Abamba, 2025). For example, a survey of Nigerian universities revealed that while student engagement in AI projects is present, faculty expertise is low and access to advanced computing resources is limited; these gaps dampen student readiness for AI-related roles and constrain downstream workforce supply.

Logistics firms in Abuja provide evidence that when AI competencies are developed through training, investment in infrastructure, and alignment of AI initiatives with strategic goals

innovative performance improves. In selected small-scale logistics firms in FCT-Abuja, AI infrastructure and proactive organizational culture correlated positively with innovation outcomes.

Thus, to build human capacity, Nigeria needs to invest in curriculum redesign in universities and technical schools to incorporate AI fundamentals, machine learning, data science, ethics, and human-machine collaboration. Continuous professional development for the existing workforce is also essential, as is ensuring equitable access to training (including for regions outside major urban centres). AI capacity building programs, bootcamps, and faculty development schemes should be scaled with government and private sector support, to ensure enough skilled personnel can take up roles in AI design, deployment, oversight, and maintenance.

2 **Strengthening Innovation Ecosystems and R&D**

Innovation ecosystems comprising universities, research labs, startups, funding agencies, and industry play a critical role in translating AI research into productivity-enhancing applications. Nigeria has recently made strides: the National Artificial Intelligence Research Scheme (NAIRS), launched in early 2024 by NITDA, has driven the publishing of peer-reviewed AI compute research papers, marking progress from almost none to twenty papers in under two years. Moreover, the National Universities Commission (NUC) has signed a memorandum of understanding with a global AI company (Daimlas Corporation) to establish an AI Centre of Excellence across Nigerian universities, which reflects a commitment to institutionalizing research capacity and promoting collaboration between academia and industry.

Enhancing R&D in AI demands that research funding is sustained, competitive, and channelled toward both fundamental and applied problems relevant to Nigeria's workplace productivity context. Innovation hubs, labs, and startup incubators must be better supported with infrastructure (computation, data access, electricity, internet), access to venture capital or grants, and platforms for knowledge sharing. Supporting open innovation, data sharing (with safeguards), and collaborative research projects can stimulate solutions tailored to Nigeria's specific bottlenecks in manufacturing, agritech, logistics, health, etc.

3 **Creating Enabling Policy and Regulatory Environments**

An enabling policy and regulatory environment is essential to ensure that AI investments translate into productivity gains without unacceptable risks. Nigeria has begun framing such policies: the draft National Artificial Intelligence Policy (NAIP) by NITDA and the National Artificial Intelligence Strategy (NAIS) released in 2024 reflect the country's roadmap toward ethical, inclusive AI adoption.

In addition, efforts by the Nigeria Data Protection Commission (NDPC) to explore regulatory AI sandboxes indicate promising regulatory innovation meant to balance oversight with experimentation. The intent is to allow AI systems to be tested under supervision so that regulations adapt with technological

development, promoting trust, fairness, and accountability.

Effective policy should clearly define standards for data protection, algorithmic transparency, auditability, ethical AI, liability regimes, as well as clear risk categorization (e.g., distinguishing high-risk from low-risk AI applications). Enforcement mechanisms will be as important as laws themselves; Nigeria must strengthen institutional capacity (regulators, courts) to monitor compliance, manage harms, and adjudicate disputes. Harmonization of regulatory frameworks across states and with international norms can help with cross-border collaboration and investment.

4 Encouraging Public–Private Partnerships in AI Application

Public–private partnerships (PPPs) can bridge gaps between policy, capacity, and market demands necessary for AI to boost productivity. Nigeria has already initiated several PPP-type arrangements: for example, MoU between universities (via NUC) and private AI firms for AI centres of excellence (as above) demonstrates one form of academic-industry collaboration. The launch of the AI Collective Ecosystem in 2025, involving over seventy AI-leaders including startups, enabling organisations, and professional associations, further shows that cross-sector collaboration is seen as critical to promoting innovation, inclusion, and sustainable development of the AI landscape. It is intended to foster shared learning, resource pooling, and coordination among stakeholders.

In practice, PPPs should involve co-funded infrastructure (computational facilities, labs, data centres), joint R&D projects with shared intellectual property frameworks, industry placements or internship programs for students, and shared risk in piloting AI solutions in workplace settings (manufacturing, logistics, public service). Ensuring that private firms are held to ethical and inclusive standards (e.g., data privacy, non-discrimination) in such partnerships will help prevent harmful deployments and increase public trust.

5 Cultivating Ethical and Inclusive AI Practices

For AI to enhance productivity in Nigeria sustainably and equitably, ethical and inclusive practice must be central. Nigeria's institutions are increasingly recognising this; for example, the National Human Rights Commission (NHRC) in collaboration with NITDA and civil society groups has begun working on norms for ethical AI governance aligned with human rights and business responsibilities.

The NDPC's work with regulatory sandboxes for AI under data protection laws also suggests an emerging practice of controlled, monitored deployment that allows innovation while safeguarding rights. Inclusive AI practice implies attention to diversity (gender, geographic, socioeconomic) both in who designs, deploys, and benefits from AI. Policies like having startup and innovation programs mandate gender inclusion quotas, ensuring underserved regions have access to capacity building, and ensuring datasets used to train models reflect Nigeria's diversity are essential. Ethical practice also means building transparency and accountability (explainability, audit trails, redress options) into AI systems, especially those used for

hiring, evaluation, or public service delivery. When ethical practices are embedded, resistance, privacy concerns, legal risks reduce, worker morale increases, and ultimately the productivity gains from AI are more likely to be realized broadly rather than concentrated only in firms or regions that can absorb risk

Policy Implications and Recommendations

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into Nigeria's economic and workplace systems holds significant promise for productivity growth but also presents complex policy challenges. Evidence indicates that AI adoption is likely to deepen productivity disparities across sectors and firm sizes unless government interventions address skills, infrastructure, and regulatory gaps. The most critical constraint is the skills mismatch: without extensive reskilling and education reforms, automation may displace low- and medium-skill workers, exacerbating transitional unemployment.

Nigeria's digital infrastructure particularly broadband, power supply, and data governance frameworks remains inadequate to support widespread AI adoption. Weak regulatory oversight in algorithmic accountability, privacy, and ethical standards exposes employers and workers to risks of bias, surveillance, and data misuse. Tailored policy support for SMEs and informal-sector firms, which dominate national employment, is therefore essential.

To harness AI for inclusive growth, Nigeria should implement a phased strategy: (i) in the short term, develop a National AI Roadmap, pilot SME AI readiness grants, and issue interim data protection guidelines; (ii) in the medium term, enact comprehensive AI governance laws, embed AI literacy in tertiary curricula, and establish a National Centre of Excellence for Responsible AI; and (iii) in the long term, expand broadband and energy infrastructure, institutionalise continuous monitoring, and strengthen innovation finance ecosystems.

Effective implementation will require intersectoral coordination among government ministries (ICT, Labour, Education, Industry, and Finance), academia, private firms, labour unions, and civil society. Public procurement and digital services can serve as powerful levers to stimulate domestic AI innovation, while social protection measures and active labour market programs must accompany automation-driven transitions.

Ethical and operational safeguards—such as mandatory AI impact assessments, human oversight in critical decisions, explainability standards, and secure data practices are indispensable for responsible deployment. Ultimately, Nigeria's success in leveraging AI for national development will depend on iterative policy learning, evidence-based pilots, and a careful balance between innovation incentives and worker protection.

Conclusion

In conclusion, while AI holds significant potential for enhancing workplace productivity in Nigeria, the ethical, legal, and socioeconomic dimensions present both risks and opportunities. Ensuring that AI contributes to sustainable, inclusive development will depend critically on the coherence of regulatory

frameworks, the vigor of ethical oversight, the equity of access and capacity building, and the presence of social protection systems. Careful institutional design and inclusive policy making will be essential to avoid exacerbating inequality, violating rights, or undermining trust and to instead harness AI in a manner that augments Nigeria's human capital and productivity in a just and resilient way

REFERENCES

Acemoglu, D., & Restrepo, P. (2021). Automation and the future of work: Understanding the potential and the risks. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 35*(4), 3–30.

Adeoye, A. O., & Oke, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence adoption and the future of work in Nigeria: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Business and Management Studies, 15*(2), 45–60.*

Albaroudi, E., Mansouri, T., & Alameer, A. (2024). A comprehensive review of AI techniques for addressing algorithmic bias in job hiring. *AI (MDPI), 5*(1), 383–404. https://doi.org/10.3390/ai5010014

Al-Sharji, Y., Al-Shehhi, M., & Ahmad, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence adoption and sustainable performance of SMEs in emerging markets. *Sustainability, 16*(5), 1864. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16051864

Anushiem, M.I. & Anushiem, U.M.J. (2023). Leave to Sue a Company in Liquidation Under section 580 of Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020: Imperative for Legislative Intervention. *Frontline Bar Journal (FBJ); 1(2),* available in google scholar at http:// www. nigerianjournalsonline.com.

Apie, E. M. (2025). Ethics of artificial intelligence (AI) and teacher integrity in the deployment of smart technologies in the digital era in Cross River State, Nigeria. *East African Journal of Arts and Social Sciences, 8*(1). https://doi.org/10.37284/eajass.8.1.2595

Autor, D. H. (2015). Why are there still so many jobs? *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 29*(3), 3–30. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.29.3.3

Babina, T., Fedyk, A., He, A., & Hodson, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence, firm growth, and product innovation. *Journal of Financial Economics, 151*(C), Article 103745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2023.103745

Bass, F. M. (1969). A new product growth for model consumer durables. *Management Science, 15*(5), 215–227. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.15.5.215

Brynjolfsson, E., & McElheran, K. (2023). The diffusion of artificial intelligence in business: Evidence from U.S. firms. *Management Science, 69*(8), 5001–5022.

Carbonero, F., Davies, J., Ernst, E., Fossen, F. M., Samaan, D., & Sorgner, A. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on labor markets in developing countries: A new method with an illustration for Lao PDR and urban Viet Nam. *Journal of*

Evolutionary Economics, *33*(3), 707–736. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00191-023-00809-7

Czarnitzki, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence and firm-level productivity. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, *211*, 188–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2023.03.010

Eguavoen, O. S., & Obasuyi, O. E. (2023). Artificial intelligence infrastructure and SMEs' performance in Edo State, Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Social Science Studies*, *3*(2), 1–13. https://sadijournals.org/index.php/IRJMSS/article/view/930

Ehirim, U. G. (2025). Artificial intelligence and healthcare delivery in Nigeria: Legal and ethical dimensions of patients' rights to safety. *Indonesian Journal of Sharia and Socio-Legal Studies*, *1*(1). https://doi.org/10.24260/ijssls.1.1.10

Ekperi, E. E., Owigho, O., Akeni, T. E., & Ovwigho, B. O. (2025). Perception of socioeconomic effect and constraints of artificial intelligence (agricultural technology) performance of agricultural extension agents in Delta State, Nigeria. *JOSRAR*, *2*(1).

Frey, C. B., & Osborne, M. A. (2017). The future of employment: How susceptible are jobs to computerisation? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *114*, 254–280. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.08.019

Gao, X., & Feng, H. (2023). AI-driven productivity gains: Artificial intelligence and firm productivity. *Sustainability*, *15*(11), 8934. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15118934

Goldfarb, A., Gans, J., & Agrawal, A. (2023). *Power and prediction: The disruptive economics of artificial intelligence.* Harvard Business Review Press.

Graetz, G., & Michaels, G. (2018). Robots at work. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, *100*(5), 753–768.

Ibitoye, F. I. (2007). Future demand for electricity in Nigeria. *Energy Policy*, *35*(2), 1019–1023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2006.02.017

Jordan, M. I., & Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. *Science*, *349*(6245), 255–260. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8415

Kolapo, A., et al. (2024). Effects of exposure on adoption of agricultural smartphone apps and impacts on productivity among smallholders in southwest Nigeria. *Agriculture & Food Security.* https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-024-00485-1

LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, *521*(7553), 436–444. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14539

Nigar, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence and technological unemployment. *Elsevier Journal.* Available via ScienceDirect.

OECD. (2023). *AI diffusion index 2023: Measuring the global adoption of artificial intelligence technologies.* Paris: OECD Publishing.

Odusanya, K., et al. (2020). Exploring the determinants of internet usage in Nigeria. *Information Development.*

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7134309/

Olawade, D. B., et al. (2025). Ethical oversight of AI in Nigerian healthcare: Perspectives from ethics committee members. *ScienceDirect.* https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1386505625003570

Olawumi, A. M., & Akinwale, Y. O. (2024). Artificial intelligence adoption and firm performance: Evidence from startups in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Economic Education Research, 25*(1), 1–18. https://jearecons.com/index.php/jearecons/article/view/430

Onyeka, C. A., & Ikpeze, N. G. (2024). Appraisal of the legal and ethical implications of artificial intelligence adoption in corporate decision-making in Nigeria. *Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of Commercial and Property Law*, *11*(4).

Oyinlade, A. (2023). Nigeria Data Protection Act, 2023 (NDPA): Restrictions on automated data processing and artificial intelligence. https://www.adeolaoyinlade.com/en/nigeria-data-protection-act-2023

Pasipamire, N. (2024). Navigating algorithm bias in AI: Ensuring fairness and trust in African contexts. *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics.* https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frma.2024.1486600/full

PricewaterhouseCoopers (PWC). (2025). *The fearless future: 2025 Global AI Jobs Barometer — AI makes people more valuable.*

Stephany, F. (2024). What is the price of a skill? The value of complementarity. *Labour Economics.*

Subaveerapandiyan, A., & Shimray, S. (2024). The evolution of job displacement in the age of AI and automation: A bibliometric review (1984–2024). *Open Information Science, 8*(1), 20240010. https://doi.org/10.1515/opis-2024-0010

Tuffour, J., Boateng, R., & Owusu, A. (2023). Adoption of artificial intelligence for business transformation in Africa: A multi-case study of Ghanaian enterprises. *Information Systems Frontiers.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-023-10354-9

Williams, R., & Edge, D. (1996). The social shaping of technology. *Research Policy, 25*(6), 865–899. https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-7333(96)00885-2