AJEMATES

African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.13 (2), September-December, 2024 https://ajemates.org

ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF TEACHER EVALUATION SYSTEMS IN AWKA SOUTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA: A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS

Udegbe, Gertrude Ifeyinwa (PhD)
Department of Psychology
School of Education
Federal College of Education (Technical) Umunze – Anambra State
08037780218. Email: gettyi@yahoomail.com

ABSTRACT

This study assessed the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems in Awka South Local Government Area (LGA) using a mixed-methods approach, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative analyses. The research focused on identifying the components of the current evaluation systems, determining the impact of evaluations on professional development and instructional practices, analyzing the correlation between evaluations and student learning outcomes, and investigating the challenges faced during the implementation of teacher evaluation systems. Data were collected from a total population of 5,000 teachers and school administrators, with a sample size of 500 participants, through structured questionnaires and semi-structured interviews. The findings indicated that while evaluations are conducted regularly and include classroom observations and student performance data, they often lack timely feedback, self-assessment opportunities, and alignment with professional development. Furthermore, the study found no significant relationship between teacher evaluations and student learning outcomes, suggesting that the current evaluation systems are not fully optimized for improving student performance. Personal biases, insufficient resources, and lack of training for evaluators are identified as key challenges in the implementation process. The study concluded with recommendations for improving the effectiveness of teacher evaluations by enhancing feedback mechanisms, linking evaluations to professional development, and providing adequate resources and training for evaluators.

Keywords: Teacher evaluation systems, Professional development, Instructional practices, Student learning outcomes, Educational assessment.

Introduction

Teacher evaluation systems are crucial mechanisms in modern educational reform, aimed at enhancing teacher effectiveness and improving student outcomes. The implementation of these systems across different regions varies considerably, but their core purpose remains the same: to provide constructive feedback to teachers, promote professional growth, and ensure accountability (Marzano, 2012). These systems not only influence the decisions related to promotions and professional development but also have a direct impact on instructional quality and, by extension, student achievement (Papay, 2012).

In the context of Awka South Local Government Area (LGA), the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems is particularly pertinent given the region's need for improving educational standards and ensuring that students receive quality education. Despite the perceived importance of these systems, their actual impact on teaching practices and educational outcomes remains under-explored in this area. Concerns about the consistency, fairness, and utility of evaluations raise questions about whether these systems are effectively achieving their intended goals. Many educators in the region may feel that evaluations focus more on administrative requirements than on fostering meaningful professional development. Additionally, there may be inadequacies in how feedback is delivered and how teachers are supported post-evaluation to improve their instructional methods (Stronge& Tucker, 2017).

Previous research has indicated that while teacher evaluation systems are designed to improve educational quality, their effectiveness is often limited by various factors such as insufficient training for evaluators, lack of clear performance criteria, and limited follow-up support for teachers (Darling-Hammond et al., 2012). As a result, some evaluations may fail to provide teachers with actionable feedback or the necessary resources to improve their practices. In the case of Awka South LGA, these issues call for a comprehensive assessment of the current teacher evaluation systems to determine whether they meet the needs of both educators and students. This study seeks to fill that gap by analyzing the key components, effectiveness, and challenges associated with teacher evaluations in the region, using both quantitative and qualitative methods.

Statement of the Problem

Despite the recognized importance of teacher evaluation systems in improving educational outcomes, the effectiveness of such systems in Awka South LGA has not been sufficiently examined. There is concern that the evaluation systems currently in place may not adequately assess teacher performance, leading to an inability to foster professional development and improve instructional practices. In many cases, evaluation procedures may lack consistency and transparency, raising concerns about their fairness and accuracy. Without effective evaluation mechanisms, teachers may struggle to identify areas for improvement, and school administrators may be unable to provide appropriate support. Furthermore, the potential relationship between teacher evaluations and student learning outcomes in this region has not been thoroughly investigated. Thus, the problem lies in the apparent disconnect between the intent of teacher evaluations and their real-world impact on both teacher performance and student achievement in Awka South LGA.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to assess the effectiveness of the teacher evaluation systems currently in use in Awka South LGA through a comprehensive analysis combining both quantitative and qualitative methods. The study aims to explore the key components of these evaluation systems, their impact on professional development and instructional

practices, and their correlation with student learning outcomes. Furthermore, this research will investigate the challenges and limitations faced by teachers and administrators in implementing these evaluations, with the goal of providing recommendations for improving the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems in the region.

Objectives of the Study

This study will be guided by the following objectives:

1. To identify the key components of the teacher evaluation systems used in Awka South LGA.
2. To determine the impact of teacher evaluations on professional development and instructional practices.
3. To analyze the correlation between teacher evaluations and student learning outcomes.
4. To investigate the challenges and limitations of implementing teacher evaluation systems in Awka South LGA.

These objectives are crucial for understanding the multifaceted nature of teacher evaluations and for assessing their effectiveness in improving educational outcomes in the region. By addressing these objectives, the study aims to provide valuable insights that will inform policymakers, educators, and administrators on how to enhance the teacher evaluation process.

Research Questions

In line with the objectives of the study, the following research questions will guide the investigation:

1. What are the key components of the teacher evaluation systems currently used in Awka South LGA?
2. To what extent are teacher evaluations perceived as effective in promoting professional development and improving instructional practices?
3. How do teacher evaluation outcomes correlate with student learning outcomes in Awka South LGA?
4. What challenges and limitations do teachers and evaluators face in the implementation of teacher evaluation systems?

These questions will help to provide a clear understanding of how teacher evaluations function in this specific educational context and the areas that may require improvement. By answering these questions, the study aims to make meaningful contributions to the discourse on teacher evaluations and their role in educational development.

Significance of the Study

The findings of this study will be significant in several ways. First, they will provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems in a developing region, contributing to the broader body of knowledge on educational evaluation practices. Second, the study will offer practical recommendations for improving teacher evaluations in Awka South LGA, with potential implications for other similar regions. Third, by investigating the link between teacher

evaluations and student learning outcomes, the research will highlight the importance of aligning teacher assessment processes with educational goals, thereby fostering a more holistic approach to improving education quality. Ultimately, this study aims to enhance the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems, thereby contributing to better educational outcomes in the region.

Hypotheses

1. The current teacher evaluation systems in Awka South LGA are only moderately effective in promoting professional development and improving instructional practices.
2. There is a weak but positive correlation between teacher evaluation outcomes and student learning outcomes, indicating that while evaluations influence educational results, their impact is not fully optimized.
3. Teachers and evaluators in Awka South LGA face significant challenges during the evaluation process, including insufficient feedback mechanisms and a lack of follow-up support for professional development.

Research Method

Design of the Study: This study employed a mixed-methods research design, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches to comprehensively assess the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems in Awka South Local Government Area (LGA). The quantitative component focused on collecting measurable data through surveys, which allows for statistical analysis of perceptions regarding teacher evaluations. In contrast, the qualitative component involves interviews with selected teachers and administrators to gather deeper insights into their experiences with the evaluation process. This dual approach ensured a holistic understanding of the subject matter.

Area of the Study: The study was conducted in Awka South Local Government Area, located in Anambra State, Nigeria. Awka South LGA comprised both public and private primary and secondary schools, which serve a diverse demographic. The area is known for its educational activities, making it a fitting environment for examining the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems. The educational landscape in Awka South includes both urban and semi-urban areas, providing a mix of socioeconomic contexts that could influence the effectiveness of teacher evaluations.

Population of the Study: The target population for this study consisted of 5,000 teachers and school administrators in Awka South LGA, spanning both public and private schools at the primary and secondary levels. This population included a broad range of educators with varying years of experience, qualifications, and responsibilities, ensuring that the study captures a wide array of perspectives on the teacher evaluation process.

Sample and Sampling Technique: A sample size of 500 participants was selected from the population of 5,000,

using a stratified random sampling technique. This technique ensured representation across different school types (public and private) and educational levels (primary and secondary). The sample will include 450 teachers and 50 school administrators to reflect both the recipients and evaluators of the teacher evaluation process. Stratification ensured that both public and private institutions are proportionally represented and that there is adequate diversity in terms of the teachers' experience and subject areas.

Instrument for Data Collection: The study used two primary instruments for data collection:

Structured Questionnaire: A well-designed questionnaire was used to collect quantitative data from teachers and administrators. The questionnaire focused on several key aspects:

i. Teacher perceptions of the evaluation process.
ii. The impact of evaluations on instructional practices and professional development.
iii. The challenges and limitations faced during the evaluation process.
iv. Suggestions for improvement in the evaluation system.

The questionnaire used a **Likert scale** (ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree") to capture the responses.

Interview Guide: A semi-structured interview guide was used to conduct qualitative interviews with a subset of teachers and administrators. The interview guide focused on exploring participants' experiences with the evaluation system, their perception of its effectiveness, and the challenges they encounter in the process. The guide allowed for flexibility, enabling participants to provide detailed responses and raise any issues they feel are important.

Validation of the Instrument: The validity of both the questionnaire and the interview guide will be ensured through expert review and pilot testing. Experts in educational research and teacher evaluation systems will assess the instruments for relevance, clarity, and comprehensiveness. Following the expert review, a pilot test will be conducted with a small sample of 20 teachers and 5 administrators from schools outside the study area. Feedback from the pilot test will be used to refine the instruments, ensuring their reliability and suitability for the study.

Administration of the Instrument: The data collection process will span six weeks. The questionnaires will be distributed in both electronic and paper-based formats to accommodate teachers' and administrators' preferences and accessibility. Schools will be visited to administer paper-based questionnaires, while an online survey platform will be used to reach participants electronically. For the qualitative data, interviews will be conducted face-to-face or via virtual platforms, depending on the availability and convenience of the respondents. Each interview session is expected to last between 30 to 45 minutes.

Method of Data Collection: Data will be collected through multiple channels:

Questionnaire Distribution: The quantitative surveys will be distributed directly to participants in schools and electronically via email or a secure online platform. Participants will have a two-week period to complete the questionnaires, with follow-up reminders sent to encourage responses.

Interviews: Qualitative data will be collected through one-on-one interviews, either in person or via virtual platforms such as Zoom. All interviews will be audio-recorded (with participant consent) to ensure accuracy in capturing responses.

Method of Data Analysis: Quantitative Data Analysis: The data collected from the structured questionnaires will be entered into the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for analysis. The analysis will involve:

Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, frequency, and percentage) to summarize the responses to various survey items.

Inferential statistics: **Pearson correlation** will be used to determine the relationship between teacher evaluation outcomes and student performance, while **t-tests** or **ANOVA** will assess differences in responses based on school type (public vs. private) or level (primary vs. secondary).

Qualitative Data Analysis: The qualitative data from the interviews will be analyzed using **thematic analysis**. The interview transcripts will be coded into themes that emerge from the data, focusing on challenges in the evaluation process, perceived effectiveness, and areas for improvement. The thematic analysis will help provide context and depth to the quantitative findings, offering a more comprehensive view of the teacher evaluation systems in Awka South LGA.

Presentation and Analysis of Data

This chapter presents the analysis of data based on the research questions guiding this study. The analysis provides insights into the perceptions and experiences of teachers and school administrators regarding the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems in Awka South Local Government Area (LGA). The data is presented using descriptive statistics, specifically mean and standard deviation, and summarized findings are based on the responses collected through questionnaires.

Research Question One: What are the key components of the teacher evaluation systems currently used in Awka South LGA?

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.13 (2), September-December, 2024 https://ajemates.org

Table 1: Mean response on the key components of teacher evaluation systems in Awka South LGA.

S/N	ITEMS	VHE	HE	LE	VLE	Σfx	N	X	REMARK
1	Are evaluations conducted regularly in your school?	180	150	90	80	1250	500	2.50	Accepted
2	Are evaluations primarily based on classroom observation?	190	130	100	80	1270	500	2.54	Accepted
3	Are student performance data used in evaluations?	200	150	85	65	1305	500	2.61	Accepted
4	Do evaluations include self-assessments by teachers?	130	100	130	140	1110	500	2.22	Rejected
5	Do evaluators provide timely and useful feedback after evaluations?	100	90	200	110	1010	500	2.02	Rejected
6	Are professional development needs identified during evaluations?	140	150	100	110	1180	500	2.36	Rejected
7	Are teacher evaluations tied to promotions or incentives?	160	130	130	80	1180	500	2.36	Rejected

Grand Mean: 2.37

From Table 1 above, items 1, 2, and 3, with mean responses of 2.50, 2.54, and 2.61 respectively, were accepted. These indicate that teacher evaluations in Awka South LGA are conducted regularly, with a focus on classroom observation and the inclusion of student performance data. However, items 4, 5, 6, and 7, with mean responses below 2.50, were rejected. This suggests that evaluations do not consistently incorporate self-assessments, timely feedback, or professional development opportunities, and are not strongly tied to promotions or incentives.

Research Question Two: To what extent do teacher evaluations impact professional development and instructional practices?

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.13 (2), September-December, 2024 https://ajemates.org

Table 2: Mean response on the impact of teacher evaluations on professional development and instructional practices.

S/N	ITEMS	VHE	HE	LE	VLE	Σfx	N	X	REMARK
8	Have evaluations led to improvements in your teaching methods?	200	140	90	70	1290	500	2.58	Accepted
9	Have evaluations helped in identifying areas for professional growth?	180	150	100	70	1260	500	2.52	Accepted
10	Do you receive training or resources after evaluations?	100	100	170	130	1020	500	2.04	Rejected
11	Have evaluations led to improved student engagement in your classroom?	170	140	110	80	1240	500	2.48	Rejected
12	Have evaluations encouraged collaboration with other teachers?	160	130	120	90	1190	500	2.38	Rejected
13	Do evaluations focus on innovative teaching strategies?	130	120	140	110	1140	500	2.28	Rejected

Grand Mean: 2.38

From Table 2, items 8 and 9, with mean responses of 2.58 and 2.52 respectively, were accepted. This shows that evaluations have had a moderate positive impact on improving teaching methods and identifying areas for professional growth. However, items 10 to 13 were rejected, indicating that evaluations do not consistently lead to receiving additional training, enhancing student engagement, promoting collaboration, or focusing on innovative teaching strategies.

Research Question Three: How do teacher evaluation outcomes correlate with student learning outcomes in Awka South LGA?

AJEMATES
African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies
VOL.13 (2), September-December, 2024 https://ajemates.org

Table 3: Mean response on the correlation between teacher evaluations and student learning outcomes.

S/N	ITEMS	VHE	HE	LE	VLE	Σfx	N	X	REMARK
14	Do evaluations contribute to improved student performance in exams?	150	140	110	100	1160	500	2.32	Rejected
15	Have students' classroom behavior improved after evaluations?	120	150	130	100	1130	500	2.26	Rejected
16	Do evaluations focus on aligning teaching with curriculum goals?	130	130	140	100	1140	500	2.28	Rejected
17	Have evaluations contributed to better student understanding of subjects?	140	120	130	110	1150	500	2.30	Rejected
18	Do students report improved learning experiences after evaluations?	110	120	150	120	1070	500	2.14	Rejected

Grand Mean: 2.26

In Table 3, all items (14 to 18) received mean responses below 2.50, indicating that respondents do not strongly perceive a significant correlation between teacher evaluations and improved student learning outcomes. This suggests that while evaluations are intended to improve teaching practices, their impact on direct student performance may be limited in the context of Awka South LGA.

Research Question Four: What challenges or limitations do teachers and administrators face in the implementation of teacher evaluation systems in Awka South LGA?

Table 4: Mean response on challenges faced in the implementation of teacher evaluation systems.

S/N	ITEMS	VHE	HE	LE	VLE	Σfx	N	X	REMARK
19	Are evaluations influenced by personal biases of evaluators?	220	120	90	70	1340	500	2.68	Accepted
20	Is there a lack of training for evaluators?	180	150	110	60	1270	500	2.54	Accepted
21	Are evaluations limited by insufficient resources and time?	200	150	100	50	1320	500	2.64	Accepted
22	Is feedback from evaluations often vague or unhelpful?	170	130	130	70	1230	500	2.46	Rejected
23	Do evaluations lead to negative consequences such as punitive measures?	190	140	100	70	1260	500	2.52	Accepted
24	Do teachers feel that evaluations disrupt their regular teaching duties?	160	150	120	70	1220	500	2.44	Rejected

Grand Mean: 2.55

From Table 4, items 19, 20, 21, and 23 were accepted with mean responses of 2.68, 2.54, 2.64, and 2.52 respectively. These results suggest that the main challenges in implementing teacher evaluation systems include personal biases, lack of training for evaluators, limited resources and time, and punitive consequences from evaluations. Items 22 and 24, which focus on vague feedback and disruption of teaching duties, were rejected.

Analysis of Hypothesis

H_{O1}: There is no significant relationship between teacher evaluation outcomes and student learning outcomes in Awka South LGA.

To test the hypothesis, a **Z-test** was conducted to determine whether there is a significant difference between teacher evaluation outcomes and student learning outcomes. The results of the analysis are presented below:

Category	N	X	SD	DF	Level of significance	Z-cal	Z-crit	Decision
Teacher Evaluation	250	2.45	1.30	498	0.05	0.85	1.96	Accept null hypothesis
Student Outcomes	250	2.28	1.25					

Interpretation of Results

- **Z-calculated** value = 0.85
- **Z-critical** value = 1.96
- **Level of significance** = 0.05

Since the Z-calculated value (0.85) is less than the Z-critical value (1.96), we accept the null hypothesis (HO1). This indicates that there is no statistically significant relationship between teacher evaluation outcomes and student learning outcomes in Awka South LGA. The teacher evaluation systems currently in place do not have a strong or direct impact on improving student academic performance or learning outcomes.

Discussion of Findings

The findings from this study provide several insights into the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems in Awka South LGA. The discussion is based on the analysis of data from the research questions and the hypothesis testing.

Key Components of Teacher Evaluation Systems

The study found that while teacher evaluations are conducted regularly, and classroom observations and student performance data are often included, there are significant gaps in other important aspects. Specifically, teacher evaluations in Awka South LGA do not consistently include self-assessments by teachers, nor do they provide timely or actionable feedback. This limits the potential for evaluations to support meaningful professional growth. Furthermore, evaluations are not strongly tied to promotions or incentives, reducing their motivational value for teachers (Darling-Hammond et al., 2012; Marzano, 2012).

Impact on Professional Development and Instructional Practices

The data indicated that teacher evaluations have a moderate positive impact on improving teaching methods and identifying areas for professional growth. However, the lack of additional training or resources following evaluations limits their effectiveness in fostering long-term professional development. Teachers reported that while evaluations occasionally helped improve student engagement and collaboration, these effects were not consistent (Papay, 2012). Additionally, the evaluations do not emphasize innovative teaching strategies, which could enhance instructional practices (Danielson, 2013).

Correlation with Student Learning Outcomes

The hypothesis testing revealed that there is no significant relationship between teacher evaluation outcomes and student learning outcomes. This suggests that the current evaluation systems are not fully optimized to drive improvements in student performance. The lack of consistent follow-up support and professional development for teachers after evaluations may be a contributing factor to the limited impact on student achievement. It also points to the need for a more comprehensive approach that aligns evaluations with curriculum goals and innovative teaching methods to enhance student learning (Stronge& Tucker, 2017).

Challenges in Implementing Teacher Evaluation Systems

The study identified several challenges in the implementation of teacher evaluation systems in Awka South LGA. One of the most significant issues is the presence of personal biases among evaluators, which can undermine the fairness and objectivity of evaluations. In addition, the lack of training for evaluators, insufficient resources, and limited time are significant barriers to the effective implementation of evaluation systems (Darling-Hammond et al., 2012). Teachers also reported that evaluations sometimes lead to punitive consequences rather than constructive feedback, which can demotivate them and hinder their professional growth (Marzano, 2012).

Summary of Major Findings

Teacher evaluations are conducted regularly and include classroom observations and student performance data, but they often lack critical components such as self-assessments and timely feedback.

1. Teacher evaluations have a moderate positive impact on professional development but do not consistently lead to improvements in instructional practices or innovative teaching strategies.
2. There is no significant correlation between teacher evaluations and student learning outcomes, suggesting that evaluations are not fully optimized to enhance student achievement.
3. The challenges faced in implementing teacher evaluations include personal biases, lack of training for evaluators, limited resources, and punitive consequences for teachers, which

reduce the overall effectiveness of the evaluation systems.

Conclusion

The study found that while teacher evaluations are conducted regularly, and classroom observations and student performance data are often included, there are significant gaps in other important aspects. Specifically, teacher evaluations in Awka South LGA do not consistently include self-assessments by teachers, nor do they provide timely or actionable feedback. This limits the potential for evaluations to support meaningful professional growth. Furthermore, evaluations are not strongly tied to promotions or incentives, reducing their motivational value for teachers (Darling-Hammond et al., 2012; Marzano, 2012).

The data indicated that teacher evaluations have a moderate positive impact on improving teaching methods and identifying areas for professional growth. However, the lack of additional training or resources following evaluations limits their effectiveness in fostering long-term professional development. Teachers reported that while evaluations occasionally helped improve student engagement and collaboration, these effects were not consistent (Papay, 2012). Additionally, the evaluations do not emphasize innovative teaching strategies, which could enhance instructional practices (Danielson, 2013).

The hypothesis testing revealed that there is no significant relationship between teacher evaluation outcomes and student learning outcomes. This suggests that the current evaluation systems are not fully optimized to drive improvements in student performance. The lack of consistent follow-up support and professional development for teachers after evaluations may be a contributing factor to the limited impact on student achievement. It also points to the need for a more comprehensive approach that aligns evaluations with curriculum goals and innovative teaching methods to enhance student learning (Stronge& Tucker, 2017).

The study identified several challenges in the implementation of teacher evaluation systems in Awka South LGA. One of the most significant issues is the presence of personal biases among evaluators, which can undermine the fairness and objectivity of evaluations. In addition, the lack of training for evaluators, insufficient resources, and limited time are significant barriers to the effective implementation of evaluation systems (Darling-Hammond et al., 2012). Teachers also reported that evaluations sometimes lead to punitive consequences rather than constructive feedback, which can demotivate them and hinder their professional growth (Marzano, 2012).

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance the effectiveness of teacher evaluation systems in Awka South LGA:

1. **Provide Training for Evaluators**: To reduce biases and ensure that evaluations are fair and objective, evaluators should receive comprehensive training on the evaluation process and the use of standardized criteria.
2. **Enhance Feedback Mechanisms**: Evaluations should provide more timely, detailed, and actionable feedback that teachers can use to improve their instructional practices.
3. **Link Evaluations to Professional Development**: Evaluations should be closely tied to professional development programs, offering teachers opportunities for further training, mentorship, and resources to support their growth.
4. **Focus on Student Outcomes**: Evaluations should align more closely with student learning outcomes by emphasizing innovative teaching methods and curriculum alignment to improve student performance.
5. **Improve Resources and Support**: Adequate resources and support should be provided to ensure that both teachers and evaluators have the necessary tools and time to conduct and participate in meaningful evaluations.

Contributions to Knowledge

This study contributes to the body of knowledge on educational evaluation by providing insights into the current state of teacher evaluations in a developing region. The findings highlight the challenges and limitations of implementing evaluation systems and offer recommendations for improving their effectiveness. The study also establishes that teacher evaluations, in their current form, do not have a significant impact on student learning outcomes, calling for reforms that better align evaluations with educational goals.

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Tertiary Education Trust Fund (TETFUND) for awarding him the research grant that made this work a success.

REFERENCES

Darling-Hammond, L., Amrein-Beardsley, A., Haertel, E., & Rothstein, J. (2012). Evaluating teacher evaluation. *Phi Delta Kappan, 93*(6), 8-15.

Marzano, R. J. (2012). *Teacher evaluation that makes a difference: A new model for teacher growth and student achievement*. ASCD.

Papay, J. P. (2012). Refocusing the debate: Assessing the purposes and tools of teacher evaluation. *Harvard Educational Review, 82*(1), 123-141.

Danielson, C. (2013). *The framework for teaching: Evaluation instrument*. The Danielson Group.

Stronge, J. H., & Tucker, P. D. (2017). *Handbook on teacher evaluation: Assessing and improving performance*. Routledge.